Dear Faculty member / staff,

As the Coordination Office of Quality, two course satisfaction surveys were applied in the 2019-2020 academic year. Although the results of the collected survey were discussed at the senior management level, it has been possible to share these survey results with you due to various technical problems yet.

The questionnaire consists of two parts: in the first questions, the participants were asked to indicate the participation level on a scale of 1-5 for the statements given to the participants. In the last two questions, the participants were asked to express their opinions with their own expressions. Abbreviations related to these questions were used while creating this report.

Survey results of the courses you have given are attached to the e-mail. Below are some explanations for you to interpret these results better:

Course CRN: The CRN number of the course which is evaluated

Course Code: Code of the course which is evaluated

Instructor ID: Code number of the instructor giving the evaluated course (names of the lecturers and assistants were removed from the questionnaires for easier examination of the survey results)

Course Name: Name of the course which is evaluated

Course instructor sufficiently equipped (Avg): "I think the lecturer has sufficient field knowledge and skills." It gives the average of the evaluations made on the 1-5 scale according to the CRN. Therefore, the same average grades always appear in the comments made to the course with the same CRN.

Instructor articulacy good (Avg): "I am satisfied with the way the instructor teaches the lesson and transfers the knowledge and skills to the student". It gives the average of the evaluations made on the 1-5 scale according to the CRN. Therefore, the same average grades always appear in the comments made to the course with the same CRN.

I am satisfied with the instructor (Avg): "I am generally satisfied with the lecturer." It gives the average of the evaluations made on the 1-5 scale according to the CRN. Therefore, the same average grades always appear in the comments made to the course with the same CRN.

Course Content Suitable (Avg): "Course content and material were adequate and up-to-date." It gives the average of the evaluations made on the 1-5 scale according to the CRN. Therefore, the same average grades always appear in the comments made to the course with the same CRN.

Satisfied with Taking the Course (Avg): "I am generally satisfied with taking this course". It gives the average of the evaluations made on a scale of 1-5 according to the CRN. Therefore, the same average grades always appear in the comments made to the course with the same CRN.

Satisfied to Take in Distance Education (Avg): "I am pleased that this course is taught with distance / online education." It gives the average of the evaluations made on the 1-5 scale according to the CRN. Therefore, the same average grades always appear in the comments made to the course with the same CRN.

Number of answers to the lesson: Total number of participations in the questionnaire related to the evaluated course (Students may only answer questions on a scale of 1-5, they have a chance to not answer open-ended questions.)

Answer ID: The singular number of the relevant answer in the questionnaire answering system

Opinion about the course: "Please enter your other comments and suggestions about the course." It includes the open-ended answers of the participants to the question.

Opinion about the instructor: "Please enter your other comments and suggestions about the lecturer of the course." It includes the open-ended answers of the participants to the question.

Regards,

Coordination Office of Quality